ON CONTINUOUS AND ADJOINT MORPHISMS
BETWEEN NONCOMMUTATIVE PRIME SPECTRA

EDWARD S. LETZTER

ABSTRACT. We study topological properties of the correspondence of prime spectra associated to a noncommutative ring homomorphism $R \to S$. Our main result provides criteria for the adjointness of certain functors between the categories of Zariski closed subsets of $\text{Spec } R$ and $\text{Spec } S$; these functors arise naturally from restriction and extension of scalars. When $R$ and $S$ are left noetherian, adjointness occurs only for centralizing and “nearly centralizing” homomorphisms.

1. Introduction

One of the most elementary and well-known properties of noncommutative rings is the non-functoriality of their prime spectra: There is apparently no natural way of assigning, to an arbitrary ring homomorphism $R \to S$, a function from the prime spectrum of $S$ into the prime spectrum of $R$. Nevertheless, there is an extensive and deep literature presenting – among many other things – topological and geometric contexts for both noncommutative ring homomorphisms and their generalizations to certain functors between module-like categories. These contexts appear, for example, in the earlier [1; 5; 18; 19; 20] and the more recent [2, 10; 11; 12; 13; 14; 15]. In the present paper we continue a discussion begun in [1, §4]. We focus on topological properties of the correspondences of prime spectra associated to arbitrary homomorphisms involving left noetherian rings or affine PI algebras.

1.1. To fix notation, equip the set $\text{Spec } R$ of prime ideals of a (not necessarily commutative) ring $R$ with the Zariski topology, by declaring the closed subsets to be those of the form

$$V_R(X) = \{P \in \text{Spec } R : P \supseteq X\},$$

for $X \subseteq R$. Our specific intent in this paper is to carefully examine noncommutative generalizations of the following two trivially true but fundamentally important facts: If $f: R \to S$ is a commutative ring homomorphism then (1) the set map

$$r: \text{Spec } S \xrightarrow{P \mapsto f^{-1}(P)} \text{Spec } R$$
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is Zariski continuous, and (2)

\[ r^{-1}V_R(X) = V_S(f(X)). \]

1.2. Now let \( f: R \to S \) be a homomorphism of noncommutative rings, and let

\[ r: \text{Spec } S \to \text{Spec } R \]

denote the correspondence assigning to each \( P \in \text{Spec } S \) the set of prime ideals of \( R \) minimal over \( f^{-1}(P) \). Adapting [1, §4], we will say that \( r \) is \textit{continuous} provided (1′):

\[ r^{-1}[V] := \{ P \in \text{Spec } S : rP \subseteq V \} \]

is closed for all closed subsets \( V \) of \( \text{Spec } R \). It need not be true that \( r \) is continuous, even when \( R \) and \( S \) are noetherian; see (2.5). Continuity does hold when \( R \) and \( S \) satisfy a polynomial identity; see [1, 4.6v] and (2.10).

One generalization of (2) might require that

\[ r^{-1}V_R(X) = V_S(f(X)) \]

for all \( X \subseteq S \). But it is easy to show that \( r \) can be continuous while not satisfying this hypothesis; see (2.4iii). Another possible generalization is (2′): For all ideals \( I \) of \( R \),

\[ r^{-1}V_R(I) = V_S(IS), \]

where \( IS := \text{ann}_S(S/Sf(I)) \).

It follows, for example, from (3.17) that (2′) is also strictly stronger than (1′). However, condition (2′) will be useful in our “point free” approach, described next.

1.3. Let \( \text{SPEC } R \) denote the category whose objects are the Zariski closed subsets of \( \text{Spec } R \) and whose morphisms are the inclusions; similarly define \( \text{SPEC } S \). In §5 we consider the functors

\[ \lambda: \text{SPEC } S \xrightarrow{V_S(J) \to V_R(f^{-1}(J))} \text{SPEC } R, \quad \text{and} \quad \rho: \text{SPEC } R \xrightarrow{V_R(I) \to V_S(IS)} \text{SPEC } R, \]

where \( I \) is a semiprime ideal of \( R \) and \( J \) is a semiprime ideal of \( S \). When \( R \) and \( S \) are commutative, it is easy to check that \( \lambda \) is left adjoint to \( \rho \); this adjointness amounts, essentially, to a reformulation of (2).

In our main result, (3.15), we give precise criteria for \( \lambda \) to be a left adjoint to \( \rho \), under certain hypotheses (satisfied by left noetherian rings and affine PI algebras); in particular, this adjointness holds if and only if \( r \) is a single-valued continuous function (allowing for a slight abuse of notation) and (2′) holds. When \( S \) is left noetherian, further equivalent conditions are given, amounting to a “nearly centralizing” property. The moral is that, other than for centralizing extensions, this adjointness is a rare occurrence.
1.4. In the approach to noncommutative algebraic geometry in [12; 17], the ring homomorphism \( f: R \to S \) provides only one example of an affine map between affine noncommutative spaces. Indeed, some of our analysis below can be formulated for more general morphisms between noncommutative spaces, and a greater portion can be restated for the setting in which the homomorphism \( f: R \to S \) is replaced by an appropriate \( R\)-\( S \)-bimodule. While a few of the definitions and preliminary results in this paper are presented within this broader context, we leave a more complete generalization to the interested reader. Recent studies on noncommutative ring homomorphisms (and generalizations) from this point of view include [13; 14; 15].

1.5. Our emphasis on categories of closed – rather than open – subsets of topological spaces is a matter of convenience and personal preference. All of the results and observations below have dual versions involving the categories of open subsets of topological spaces, with inclusions again providing the morphisms.

1.6 Conventions and Notation. (i) Let \( A \) be a ring. We will always assume that the Zariski topology has been applied to \( \text{Spec} \ A \), and we will continue to use the notation \( \text{SPEC} \) as in (1.3). If \( I \) is an ideal of \( A \), we will use \( \sqrt{I} \) to denote the prime radical of \( I \), and if \( U \) is a set of prime ideals in \( A \) we will use \( I(U) \) to denote their intersection; note that \( V_A(I(U)) \) is the closure of \( U \) in \( \text{Spec} \ A \).

(ii) Let \( A \) and \( B \) be rings. We will use \( _AM \) as an abbreviation for “the left \( A \)-module \( M \).” We will similarly use \( MA \) for right \( A \)-modules and \( AM_B \) for \( A\)-\( B \)-bimodules. We will use \( \text{ann}_A M \) to denote the annihilator of \( _AM \) and \( \text{ann} M_A \) to denote the annihilator of \( AM \). The category of left \( A \)-modules will be denoted \( \text{Mod} A \).

(iii) The reader is referred to [6; 9] for further ring-theoretic background information.
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2. Continuous Correspondences

In this section we consider ring homomorphisms and continuity. This discussion can be regarded as a continuation of [1, §4], where correspondences between the maximal spectra of affine PI algebras are considered.

Throughout this section, \( f: R \to S \) will be a homomorphism of rings.

2.1. (i) Let \( X \) and \( Y \) be sets. By a correspondence \( c: X \to Y \) we mean a function from \( X \) into the set of subsets of \( Y \). Following common practice, we will define

\[
\begin{align*}
  cU &= \bigcup_{u \in U} cu \\
  c^{-1}V &= \{ u \in U : cu \cap V \neq \emptyset \}
\end{align*}
\]

for subsets \( U \) of \( X \) and \( V \) of \( Y \). However, it will be more convenient for our purposes to use the following generalization of the inverse of a function,

\[
c^{[-1]}V = \{ u \in U : cu \subseteq V \}.
\]
Note that \( X - c^{-1}V = c([-1])(Y - V) \). Also,
\[
c^{-1}cU \supseteq U, \quad c[-1]V \subseteq V, \quad cU \subseteq cU', \quad \text{and} \quad c[-1]V \subseteq c[-1]V',
\]
for all \( U \subseteq U' \subseteq X \) and \( V \subseteq V' \subseteq Y \).

(ii) Let \( X \) and \( Y \) be topological spaces. Following [1, §4], we will say that the correspondence \( c: X \to Y \) is continuous provided \( c^{-1}W \) is open for all open subsets \( W \) of \( Y \), or equivalently, provided \( c^{-1}Z \) is closed for all closed subsets \( Z \) of \( Y \).

2.2. The correspondences of spectra of interest to us appear within the following more general framework. Let \( \alpha: \text{Mod} B \to \text{Mod} A \) be a covariant functor, for rings \( A \) and \( B \).

\[
J^\alpha := \text{ann}_A \alpha(B/J).
\]

We obtain a correspondence \( r(\alpha): \text{Spec} B \to \text{Spec} A \), sending each \( P \in \text{Spec} B \) to the set of prime ideals of \( A \) minimal over \( P^\alpha \). (It may be the case that \( P^\alpha = A \), in which case \( r(\alpha)P \) will be empty. However, using Zorn’s lemma, if \( J \) is an ideal of \( A \) contained within at least one \( Q \in \text{Spec} A \), then there exists a \( Q' \in \text{Spec} A \) such that \( Q' \subseteq Q \) and such that \( Q' \) is minimal over \( J \).)

2.3. Applying (2.2) to the restriction of scalars functor \( \text{Mod} S \to \text{Mod} R \), we obtain the correspondence (which we will denote) \( r: \text{Spec} S \to \text{Spec} R \), sending each \( P \in \text{Spec} S \) to the nonempty set
\[
\{ Q \in \text{Spec} R : Q \text{ is minimal over } f^{-1}(P) = \text{ann}_R(S/P) \}.
\]

If \( Q \) is a prime ideal of \( R \), then \( r^{-1}Q \) is commonly referred to as the set of prime ideals of \( S \) “lying over” \( Q \).

2.4. Let \( I \) be an ideal of \( R \).

(i) Note that
\[
r^{-1}V_R(I) = \{ P \in \text{Spec} S : \sqrt{f^{-1}(P)} \supseteq I \}.
\]

(ii) When \( R \) and \( S \) are commutative, \( r \) is the continuous function from \( \text{Spec} S \) to \( \text{Spec} R \) mapping each prime ideal \( P \) of \( S \) to the prime ideal \( f^{-1}(P) \) of \( R \), and
\[
r^{-1}V_R(I) = r^{-1}V_R(I) = V_S(f(I)).
\]

(iii) When \( S \) is not commutative, the equality in (ii) need not hold. For example, set
\[
S = \begin{bmatrix} k & k \\ k & k \end{bmatrix}, \quad R = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ 0 & \alpha \end{bmatrix} : \alpha, \beta \in k \right\} \subseteq S, \quad \text{and} \quad I = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & k \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \subseteq R,
\]

where \( k \) is a field. Let \( f \) be the inclusion of \( R \) in \( S \). Then \( \text{Spec} S = \{ 0 \}, \text{Spec} R = \{ I \} \), \( r \) is continuous, and
\[
r^{-1}V_R(I) = \{ 0 \} \neq \emptyset = V_S(f(I)).
\]
(iv) When $\sqrt{f^{-1}(P)}$ is nilpotent modulo $f^{-1}(P)$ for all $P \in \text{Spec } S$, the equality in (ii) can be replaced by

$$r^{[-1]}V_R(I) = \{ P \in \text{Spec } S : f^{-1}(P) \supseteq I^t \text{ for some positive integer } t \}$$

$$= \{ P \in \text{Spec } S : P \supseteq f(I)^t \text{ for some positive integer } t \}$$

$$= \bigcup_{t \geq 1} V_S(f(I)^t).$$

2.5. We can see as follows that $r$ need not be continuous, even when $R$ and $S$ are noetherian.

Let $k$ be a field of characteristic zero, and suppose that $S$ has been chosen to be the enveloping algebra of $\mathfrak{sl}_2(k)$. Let $\{E, F, H\}$ be the standard $k$-basis for $\mathfrak{sl}_2(k) \subset S$ (cf., e.g., [4, §1.8]), with $[H, E] = 2E$, $[H, F] = -2F$, and $[E, F] = H$. Assume that $R = k\{E\} \subset S$ and that $f$ is the inclusion map. Let $I = \langle E \rangle$. It is well known that $R$ is a polynomial ring in $E$ and that $S$ is noetherian. Moreover, if $P$ is the kernel of a finite dimensional irreducible representation of $S$, then $I^t \subset P$ for some positive integer $t$. (This last assertion immediately follows, e.g., from [4, §1.8].)

We can now see that $U = r^{[-1]}V_R(I) \subset \text{Spec } S$ contains the kernel of every finite dimensional irreducible representation of $S$, then $I^t \subset P$ for some positive integer $t$. (This last assertion immediately follows, e.g., from [4, §1.8].)

Hence $r$ is continuous.

2.6. Continuity does hold in the following commonly occurring special case: Suppose that $f^{-1}(P)$ is a semiprime ideal of $R$ for every prime ideal $P$ of $S$. (See, e.g., [9, Chapter 10] for settings in which this hypothesis holds.) Then, if $I$ is an ideal of $R$,

$$r^{[-1]}V_R(I) = \{ P \in \text{Spec } S : f^{-1}(P) \supseteq I \} = \{ P \in \text{Spec } S : P \supseteq f(I) \} = V_S(f(I)).$$

Hence $r$ is continuous.

2.7. In the remainder of this section we establish continuity in the presence of a bound on Goldie ranks.

(i) Let $A$ be a ring for which every prime factor is left or right Goldie. Set

$$\text{Spec}_n A = \{ P \in \text{Spec } A : \text{rank}(A/P) \leq n \},$$

where “rank” means “Goldie rank” and where $n$ is a positive integer. Equip $\text{Spec}_n A$ with the relative Zariski topology.

(ii) Suppose that all of the prime factors of $R$ and $S$ are left or right Goldie. Let $P \in \text{Spec}_n S$. It follows from [21] that $rP \in \text{Spec}_n R$.

2.8 Lemma. Let $A$ be a subring of a prime left or right Goldie ring $B$. Suppose that the Goldie rank of $B$ is $t$, and let $N$ denote the prime radical of $A$. Then $N^t = 0.$
Proof. Let $F$ be the Goldie quotient ring of $B$. By assumption, $F$ has length $t$ as a left $F$-module, and so there exists an $F$-$A$-bimodule composition series

$$0 = F_0 \subset F_1 \subset \cdots \subset F_s = F,$$

for some $s \leq t$. For $1 \leq i \leq s$, set

$$Q_i = \text{ann}(F_i/F_{i-1})_A.$$ Then $FQ_s \cdots Q_1 = 0$, and it is easy to check that $Q_1, \ldots, Q_s$ are prime ideals of $A$. In particular, $Q_s \cdots Q_1 = 0$ in $A$, and so $N^t \subseteq N^s = 0$. □

2.9 Proposition. Let $n$ be a positive integer, and assume that all of the prime factors of $R$ and $S$ are left or right Goldie. Then $r: \text{Spec}_n S \rightarrow \text{Spec}_n R$ is continuous.

Proof. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $R$ is a subring of $S$ and that $f$ is the inclusion map. Let $I$ be an ideal of $R$, and set $V = V_R(I)$. It now follows from (2.8), and our earlier observations, that

$$\left(r^{-1}(V \cap \text{Spec}_n R)\right) \cap \text{Spec}_n S = \left(r^{-1}V\right) \cap \text{Spec}_n S = \{P \in \text{Spec}_n S : P \supseteq I^n\} = (V_S(I^n)) \cap \text{Spec}_n S.$$ The proposition follows. □

2.10 Corollary. (cf. [1, 4.6v]) If $S$ is a PI ring then $r$ is continuous.

Proof. Assume that $S$ is PI. It follows from Posner’s theorem that every prime factor of $R$ and $S$ is Goldie. It follows from basic PI theory that there exists a finite upper bound for the Goldie ranks of the prime factors of $S$. The corollary now follows from (2.9). □

2.11. In [1, 4.6v] it is noted that the correspondence $r: \text{max} S \rightarrow \text{max} R$ is continuous when $R$ and $S$ are PI algebras affine over a field. However, the proof given there (in the last paragraph on page 307) appears to be incorrect.

2.12. In [1, 4.7] it is shown that the homomorphism $f: R \rightarrow S$ can be chosen with the following properties: (i) $R$ and $S$ are PI algebras affine over a field, (ii) there exists a closed subset $V$ of $\text{Spec} R$ for which $r^{-1}V$ is not closed in $\text{Spec} S$. As noted in [1, 4.7], it follows that “$r^{-1}(\text{open})$ is open” continuity does not imply “$r^{-1}(\text{closed})$ is closed” continuity.

2.13. We ask: (i) Must $r$ be continuous when $S$ is FBN? (ii) Must $r$ be continuous when $S$ is finitely generated as an $R$-module?

3. ADJOINNESS

Throughout this section, $f: R \rightarrow S$ will be a ring homomorphism, and $r$ will denote the correspondence from $\text{Spec} S$ to $\text{Spec} R$ described in (2.3). In our main result, (3.15), we determine – under additional hypotheses introduced in (3.7) – when adjointness holds for the functors, between $\text{SPEC} R$ and $\text{SPEC} S$, arising from restriction and extension of scalars.

We begin with some preliminaries on functors, correspondences, and topological spaces.
3.1. Let $X$ be a topological space, and let $\text{Closed} X$ denote the category whose objects are the closed subsets of $X$ and whose morphisms are the inclusions. If $U$ is a subset of $X$, we will denote the closure of $U$ in $X$ by $\overline{U}$.

3.2. Let $X$ and $Y$ be topological spaces.

(i) Let $\varphi$ be a covariant functor from $\text{Closed} X$ to $\text{Closed} Y$, and let $\psi$ be a covariant functor from $\text{Closed} Y$ to $\text{Closed} X$. Then $\varphi$ is a left adjoint to $\psi$ exactly when

$$\varphi U \subseteq V \iff U \subseteq \psi V,$$

for all $U \in \text{Closed} X$ and $V \in \text{Closed} Y$. Now suppose that $\psi$ and $\psi'$ are both right adjoints to $\varphi$, and let $V \in \text{Closed} Y$. Then

$$\psi V \subseteq \psi' V \Rightarrow \varphi \psi V \subseteq V \Rightarrow \psi V \subseteq \psi' V.$$

Similarly, $\psi' V \subseteq \psi V$. It follows that $\psi$ and $\psi'$ must be the same functor.

(ii) Let $c : X \to Y$ be a (not necessarily continuous) correspondence. We obtain covariant functors

$$\varphi^c : \text{Closed} X \xrightarrow{U \mapsto cU} \text{Closed} Y, \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi_c : \text{Closed} Y \xrightarrow{V \mapsto c[-1]V} \text{Closed} X.$$ 

Moreover, $\varphi^c$ is a left adjoint to $\varphi_c$ exactly when

$$cU \subseteq V \iff U \subseteq c[-1]V$$

for all closed subsets $U$ of $X$ and $V$ of $Y$. Consequently, if $c$ is continuous, it immediately follows that $\varphi^c$ is a left adjoint to $\varphi_c$. Conversely, if $\varphi^c$ is a left adjoint to $\varphi_c$, then

$$c[-1]V \subseteq c[-1]V \Rightarrow c \left( c[-1]V \right) \subseteq V \Rightarrow c[-1]V \subseteq c[-1]c \left( c[-1]V \right) \subseteq c[-1]V.$$ 

We conclude that $\varphi^c$ is a left adjoint to $\varphi_c$ if and only if $c$ is continuous.

3.3. We now introduce functors between spectra in a somewhat more general framework. Assume that $A$ and $B$ are rings, and that $\alpha : \text{Mod} B \to \text{Mod} A$ is a covariant functor. Recall the notation of (2.2).

(ii) Following (3.2ii), we obtain the functors

$$\varphi^{r(\alpha)} : \text{SPEC} B \to \text{SPEC} A \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi_{r(\alpha)} : \text{SPEC} A \to \text{SPEC} B.$$ 

(ii) Suppose that $\alpha$ is right exact. Then the assignment $J \mapsto J^\alpha$ preserves inclusions, and thus induces a functor

$$\theta^\alpha : \text{SPEC} B \xrightarrow{V \mapsto V^\alpha \left( I(V)^\alpha \right)} \text{SPEC} A.$$
3.4. Retain the notation of (3.3), and assume that there exists an $A$-$B$-bimodule $M$ such that $\alpha L = M \otimes_B L$, for each left $B$-module $L$. Recall, by Watts’ theorem (see, for example, [16, IV.10.1], that this assumption holds if and only if $\alpha$ possesses a right adjoint.

(i) Observe that
$$J^\alpha = \text{ann}_A \left( M/M.J \right),$$
for all ideals $J$ of $B$.

(ii) Note, for ideals $J_1$ and $J_2$ of $B$, that
$$J_1^\alpha J_2^\alpha.M \subseteq J_1^\alpha J_2^\alpha \subseteq M.J_1 J_2,$$
and so $J_1^\alpha J_2^\alpha \subseteq (J_1 J_2)^\alpha$.

(iii) Let $J$ be an ideal of $B$, and suppose that $Q$ is a prime ideal of $A$ containing $J^\alpha$. Using Zorn’s lemma, we can choose an ideal $P$ of $B$ maximal such that $P \supseteq J$ and such that $P^\alpha \subseteq Q$; it follows from (ii) that $P$ must be prime. Therefore,
$$Q \supseteq P^\alpha \supseteq \left( \sqrt{J} \right)^\alpha,$$
and so $\sqrt{J}^\alpha \supseteq \left( \sqrt{J} \right)^\alpha \supseteq J^\alpha$.

It follows that
$$\theta^\alpha V_B(J) = V_A(J^\alpha),$$
for all ideals $J$ of $B$.

(iv) Let $J$ be an ideal of $B$, and set
$$X = r(\alpha)V_B(J) \subseteq \text{Spec } A.$$
If $Q \in X$ then $Q \supseteq J^\alpha$, and so $\overline{X} \subseteq V_A(J^\alpha)$. Conversely, choose $Q \in V_A(J^\alpha)$. As in (iii), there exists a prime ideal $P$ of $B$ such that $P \supseteq J$ and such that $Q \supseteq P^\alpha$. There then exists (by another Zorn’s lemma argument) a prime ideal $Q'$ of $A$ minimal over $P^\alpha$ such that $Q' \subseteq Q$. Because $Q' \in X$, we see that $Q \in \overline{X}$, and so
$$\varphi^{r(\alpha)} V_B(J) = \overline{X} \subseteq V_A(J^\alpha) = \theta^\alpha V_B(J).$$
We see, in the present setting, that $\theta^\alpha$ and $\varphi^{r(\alpha)}$ are the same functor.

3.5. Applying (3.4) to the restriction of scalars functor $\text{Mod } S \to \text{Mod } R$, we obtain the functor $\lambda: \text{SPEC } S \to \text{SPEC } R$, sending
$$V_S(J) \longmapsto V_R(f^{-1}(J)),$$
for ideals $J$ of $S$. Again using (3.4), we see that $\lambda = \varphi^r$. 
3.6. (i) For each ideal $I$ of $R$, set
\[ I^S = \text{ann}_S (S/Sf(I)). \]
Applying (3.4) to the extension of scalars functor $\text{Mod} R \to \text{Mod} S$, we now obtain the functor $\rho: \text{SPEC} R \to \text{SPEC} S$, sending
\[ V_R(I) \longmapsto V_S(I^S), \]
for ideals $I$ of $R$.

(iii) Suppose that $R$ and $S$ are commutative. Then $r: \text{Spec} S \to \text{Spec} R$ is a continuous function, and, in the notation of (3.4), $\rho = \varphi_r$. Moreover, following (3.2ii) we see that $\lambda$ is a left adjoint to $\rho$.

3.7. For the remainder of this section we will assume that (i) all semiprime factors of $R$ and $S$ are left or right Goldie, and (ii) the prime radicals of all of the factors of $R$ and $S$ are nilpotent.

3.8. (i) The hypotheses in (3.7) hold, of course, when $R$ and $S$ are left or right noetherian.

(ii) Suppose that $R$ and $S$ are each affine over a commutative noetherian ring and satisfy a polynomial identity. Then (3.7i) follows from Posner’s theorem, and (3.7ii) follows from [3].

(iii) Let $I$ be an ideal of $R$ or $S$. It follows from (3.7i) that $\sqrt{I}$ is the intersection of finitely many prime ideals and then from (3.7ii) that $I$ contains a finite product of prime ideals. In particular, there are finitely many prime ideals minimal over $I$.

3.9. In (3.10) through (3.14) we will further assume that $R$ is a subring of $S$ and that $f$ is inclusion.

3.10 Lemma. (i) If $J$ is an ideal of $S$ then $\lambda V_S(J) = V_R(J \cap R)$. (ii) If $I$ is an ideal of $R$ then $\rho V_R(I) = V_S(I^S)$.

Proof. (i) Let $J$ be an ideal of $S$. For sufficiently large $t$,
\[ \left( \sqrt{J} \cap R \right)^t \subseteq J \cap R \subseteq \sqrt{J} \cap R, \]
and so
\[ V_R(J \cap R) = V_R \left( \sqrt{J} \cap R \right) = \lambda V_S(J). \]

(ii) Let $I$ be an ideal of $R$. By (3.4ii), for sufficiently large $t$,
\[ \left( \left( \sqrt{I} \right)^t \right)^S \subseteq \left( \left( \sqrt{I} \right)^t \right)^S \subseteq \left( \sqrt{I} \right)^S, \]
and so
\[ V_S(I^S) = V_S \left( \left( \sqrt{I} \right)^S \right) = \rho V_R(I). \]

(The preceding two arguments are symmetrical – note that $J \cap R = \text{ann}_R(S/SJ)$, for ideals $J$ of $S$.) \qed
3.11. We can now see, in the present situation, that \( \lambda \) is a left adjoint to \( \rho \) exactly when
\[
V_S(J) \subseteq V_S(I^S) \iff V_R(J \cap R) \subseteq V_R(I),
\]
or equivalently,
\[
I^S \subseteq \sqrt{J} \iff I \subseteq \sqrt{J \cap R},
\]
for all ideals \( I \) of \( R \) and \( J \) of \( S \).

3.12 Lemma. (i) Let \( I \) be an ideal of \( R \), let \( J \) be an ideal of \( S \), and suppose that \( V_R(J \cap R) \subseteq V_R(I) \). Then \( V_S(J) \subseteq V_S(I^S) \).

(ii) \( \lambda \) is a left adjoint to \( \rho \) if and only if
\[
V_S(J) \subseteq V_S(I^S) \implies V_R(J \cap R) \subseteq V_R(I),
\]
for all ideals \( I \) of \( R \) and \( J \) of \( S \).

Proof. (i) Since \( I \subseteq \sqrt{J \cap R} \), there exists a positive integer \( t \) such that \( I^t \subseteq J \cap R \). Hence \( I^tS \subseteq J \), and so \( (I^t)^S \subseteq J \). Therefore, by (3.10),
\[
V_S(J) \subseteq V_S((I^t)^S) = \rho V_R(I^t) = \rho V_R(I) = V_S(I^S).
\]

(ii) Follows immediately from (i) and (3.11). \( \square \)

3.13 Lemma. The following are equivalent.

(i) \( \lambda \) is a left adjoint to \( \rho \).

(ii) For all \( P \in \text{Spec } S \) and \( Q \in \text{Spec } R \),
\[
Q^S \subseteq P \implies Q \subseteq \sqrt{P \cap R}.
\]

Proof. It follows immediately from (3.11) that (i) \( \implies \) (ii).

Conversely, assume that (ii) is true, that \( I \) is an ideal of \( R \), that \( J \) is an ideal of \( S \), and that \( V_S(J) \subseteq V_S(I^S) \). Then \( I^S \subseteq \sqrt{J} \). Let \( P \) be a prime ideal of \( S \) minimal over \( J \).

Using Zorn’s lemma we can choose an ideal \( Q \) of \( R \) maximal among the ideals \( I' \) of \( R \) for which \( I' \supseteq I \) and \( I^S \subseteq P \). Because \( P \) is prime, (3.4ii) ensures that \( Q \) is prime. Therefore, by assumption, \( Q \subseteq \sqrt{P \cap R} \), and so \( I \subseteq \sqrt{P \cap R} \). Consequently, \( I^t \subseteq P \cap R \) for a sufficiently large positive integer \( t \).

Since \( P \) was arbitrarily chosen among the finitely many prime ideals of \( S \) minimal over \( J \), we see that \( I^t \subseteq \sqrt{J} \cap R \) for sufficiently large \( t \). However, \( (\sqrt{J} \cap R)^t \subseteq J \cap R \) for sufficiently large \( t \), and so \( I^t \subseteq J \cap R \) for sufficiently large \( t \). Therefore, \( I \subseteq \sqrt{J \cap R} \). Hence \( V_R(J \cap R) \subseteq V_R(I) \), and it follows from (3.12ii) that (ii) \( \implies \) (i). \( \square \)
3.14 Lemma. Let $P \in \text{Spec} \ S$. Then there exists a $Q \in \text{Spec} \ R$ such that $Q$ is minimal over $P \cap R$ and such that $Q^S \subseteq P$.

Proof. We may assume, without loss of generality, that $P = 0$. Next, by (3.8iii), there exists a prime ideal $\hat{Q}$ of $R$ such that $\hat{Q}.N = 0$ for some nonzero ideal $N$ of $R$. Choose a minimal prime ideal $Q$ of $R$ such that $Q \subseteq \hat{Q}$, and let $F$ denote the Goldie quotient ring of $S$. Since $F.Q.N = 0$, and since $\text{ann}_S F = 0$, we see that $F.Q \neq F$. Consequently, $F/FQ$ is a nonzero $F$-$R$-bimodule. By Goldie's theorem, every left $S$-submodule of $F/FQ$ must have annihilator equal to $P$.

Now note that $F/FQ$ contains a nonzero $S$-$R$-bimodule factor of $S/SQ$. In particular, there exists an $S$-$R$-bimodule factor $B$ of $S/SQ$ with $\text{ann}_S B = 0$. Thus $Q^S = \text{ann}_S(S/SQ) = 0$, and the lemma follows. $\square$

3.15 Theorem. Assume that $f: R \to S$ be a ring homomorphism, that all semiprime factors of $R$ and $S$ are left or right Goldie, and that the prime radicals of all of the factors of $R$ and $S$ are nilpotent.

(1) The following are equivalent.

(i) $\lambda$ is a left adjoint to $\rho$.

(ii) The canonical correspondence $r: \text{Spec} \ S \to \text{Spec} \ R$ defined in (2.3) is a single-valued continuous function, and

$$r[-1]V_R(I) = V_S(I^S),$$

for all ideals $I$ of $R$.

(2) If $S$ is left noetherian then (i), (ii) and the following are equivalent.

(iii) For each $Q \in \text{Spec} \ R$ there is a positive integer $t$ such that $f(Q)^{t}S \subseteq Sf(Q)$.

(iv) For each ideal $I$ of $R$ there is a positive integer $t$ such that $f(I)^{t}S \subseteq Sf(I)$.

Proof. We may assume, without loss of generality, that $R$ is a subring of $S$ and that $f$ is inclusion.

(1) (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii): Let $P \in \text{Spec} \ S$. By (3.14), we can choose $Q \in \text{Spec} \ R$ such that $Q$ is minimal over $P \cap R$ and such that $Q^S \subseteq P$. By (3.11), $Q \subseteq \sqrt{P \cap R}$, and so $Q = \sqrt{P \cap R}$. Hence $rP = \{Q\}$, and $r$ is a single-valued function.

Now let $I$ be an ideal of $R$, and note that $P \in r[-1]V_R(I)$ if and only if $I \subseteq \sqrt{P \cap R}$. Hence, by (3.11), $r[-1]V_R(I) = V_S(I^S)$. In particular, $r$ is continuous.

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i): Assume that $P \in \text{Spec} \ S$, that $Q \in \text{Spec} \ R$, and that $Q^S \subseteq P$. In other words, $P \in V_S(Q^S)$. By hypothesis, $V_S(Q^S) = r[-1]V_R(Q)$, and hence $P \in r[-1]V_R(Q)$. Therefore, $rP \subseteq V_R(Q)$, and so $Q \subseteq \sqrt{P \cap R}$. It now follows from (3.13) that $\lambda$ is a left adjoint to $\rho$.

(2) Assume that $S$ is left noetherian.

(i) $\Rightarrow$ (iii): Suppose that $S/SQ \neq 0$; the desired conclusion immediately holds true otherwise. Next, since $S$ is left noetherian, there exists a series of $S$-$R$-bimodules,

$$0 = M_0 \subset M_1 \subset \cdots \subset M_n = S/SQ,$$

such that for each $1 \leq i \leq n$,

$$P_i = \text{ann}_S(M_i/M_{i-1}) \in \text{Spec} \ S$$
(see, e.g., [6, 2.13]). In particular, $Q^S$ is contained in each of $P_1, \ldots, P_n$. In view of (3.11), it now follows from our assumptions that $Q \subseteq \sqrt{P_1 \cap R}$, for $1 \leq i \leq n$. Therefore, for sufficiently large $t$, $Q^t \subseteq P_1 \cdots P_n$. Consequently, $Q''(S/SQ) = 0$, and so $Q^tS \subseteq SQ$.

(iii) $\Rightarrow$ (i): Assume that $Q \in \text{Spec } R$, that $P \in \text{Spec } S$, and that $Q^S \subseteq P$. Choose $t$ such that $Q^tS \subseteq SQ$. Then $SQ^tS \subseteq SQ$, and so $SQ^tS \subseteq Q^S$. Hence, $Q^t \subseteq (SQ^tS) \cap R \subseteq P \cap R$. Therefore, $Q \subseteq \sqrt{P \cap R}$. By (3.13), $\lambda$ is a left adjoint to $\rho$.

(iii) $\Leftrightarrow$ (iv): Assume (iii), and let $I$ be an arbitrary ideal of $R$. Choose $Q_1, \ldots, Q_n \in \text{Spec } R$ such that $\sqrt{I} = Q_1 \cap \cdots \cap Q_n$ and such that $Q_1 \cdots Q_n \subseteq I$. Then, by assumption, for a sufficiently large positive integer $t$, $I^n tS \subseteq SQ_1 \cdots Q_n \subseteq SI$, and (iv) holds true. The converse is trivial. □

3.16. It is easy to see that the conditions (iii) and (iv) of (3.15) are satisfied when the homomorphism $f: R \to S$ is centralizing (i.e., $S$ is generated as a left $R$-module by a set $X$ such that $r.x = x.r$ for all $r \in R$ and $x \in X$). Non-centralizing homomorphisms for which (3.15iii, iv) hold are more rare, although ring embeddings associated to nilpotent Lie superalgebras provide such examples; see [7; 8] for details. We can view ring homomorphisms satisfying (3.15iv) as being “nearly centralizing.”

3.17. It is not true that $\lambda$ is a left adjoint to $\rho$ if and only if $r$ is a single-valued continuous function. To provide an easy illustration, let $k$ be a field of characteristic zero and let $S$ denote the first Weyl algebra over $k$: $S$ is generated by $x$ and $y$, subject only to the relation $yx - xy = 1$. Let $R$ be the commutative polynomial ring $k[x]$, identified with the subalgebra of $S$ generated by $x$, and let $f$ denote the inclusion homomorphism.

Let $P$ denote the zero ideal of $S$. Then $\text{Spec } S = \{P\}$ and $P \cap R \in \text{Spec } R$. Hence $r$ is a single-valued continuous function.

Now let $I$ be the ideal of $R$ generated by $x$. Then $SI = Sx$ is a proper left ideal of $S$, and so $S/SI \neq 0$. Since $S$ is a simple ring, $I^S = 0$. Also, $I^S \subset P$ and $I \not\subseteq \sqrt{P \cap R}$. Therefore, by (3.11), $\lambda$ is not a left adjoint to $\rho$.
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