

WAVELETS AND GABOR FRAMES:  
A UNIFIED THEORY

Eugenio Hernández

Universidad Autónoma de Madrid

Recent developments in real and harmonic  
analysis

Meeting in honor of CARLOS SEGOVIA

Buenos Aires, Argentina  
December 12-16, 2005

[www.uam.es/eugenio.hernandez](http://www.uam.es/eugenio.hernandez)

# 1. DEFINICIONES, NOTACION Y EJEMPLOS

In a separable Hilbert space  $H$ ,  $(\{\varphi_\alpha\}, \{\eta_\alpha\})$  is a reproducing system if for all  $f \in H$

$$f = \sum_{\alpha \in A} \langle f, \varphi_\alpha \rangle \eta_\alpha$$

with convergence on  $H$ . The analysis of  $f$  is done with the  $\{\varphi_\alpha\}$  and the reconstruction with the  $\{\eta_\alpha\}$ .

A family  $\{\varphi_\alpha : \alpha \in A\}$  in  $H$  is a **FRAME** if there exists constants  $0 < A \leq B < \infty$  such that

$$A \|f\|^2 \leq \sum_{\alpha \in A} |\langle f, \varphi_\alpha \rangle|^2 \leq B \|f\|^2, \quad f \in H.$$

If only the right hand side inequality holds we say that the system is **BESSEL**. If  $A=B=1$  the system is called a **PARSEVAL FRAME**.

• **EXAMPLE 1.** The system  $\{g_{m,n}(x) = e^{2\pi i m x} g(x-n) : m, n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  is an orthonormal basis for  $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ , thus a reproducing system and a frame

• **EXAMPLE 2.** Let  $\eta$  be defined by  $\hat{\eta}(\xi) = \chi_{I_a}(\xi)$  where  $I_a = [2a, a) \cup (a, 2a]$  and  $a \leq \frac{1}{2}$ . The system

$$\{\eta_{j,k}(x) = 2^{\frac{j}{2}} \eta(2^j x - k) : j, k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$$

is a Parseval frame

**Proof.**

$$\sum_{j,k} |\langle f, \eta_{j,k} \rangle|^2 = \sum_{j,k} |\langle \hat{f}, \hat{\eta}_{j,k} \rangle|^2 =$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{j, k} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} \hat{f}(\xi) 2^{-j/2} \hat{\gamma}(2^j \xi) e^{-2\pi i 2^{-j} \xi \cdot k} d\xi \right|^2 \\
&= \sum_{j, k} \left| \int_{I_a} \hat{f}(2^j \mu) 2^{j/2} \hat{\gamma}(\mu) e^{-2\pi i \mu \cdot k} d\mu \right|^2 \\
&= \sum_{j, k} 2^j \left| \int_{I_{a/2}} \hat{f}(2^j \mu) \chi_{I_a}(\mu) e^{-2\pi i \mu \cdot k} d\mu \right|^2
\end{aligned}$$

Since  $\{e^{-2\pi i \mu \cdot k} : k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  is an orthonormal basis for  $L^2(I_{a/2})$ , by Plancherel we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{j, k} |\langle \hat{f}, \gamma_{j, k} \rangle|^2 &= \sum_j 2^j \int_{I_{a/2}} |\hat{f}(2^j \mu) \chi_{I_a}(\mu)|^2 d\mu \\
&= \sum_j \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\hat{f}(\xi)| \chi_{I_a}(2^{-j} \xi) d\xi = \|\hat{f}\|^2 = \|f\|^2
\end{aligned}$$

since  $\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \chi_{I_a}(2^{-j} \xi) = 1$  for all  $\xi \neq 0$ .

---

- TRANSLATION:  $T_y f(x) = f(x - y)$ ,  $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$
- DILATION: for  $A \in GL_n(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,  

$$D_A f(x) = |\det A|^{1/2} f(Ax)$$
- MODULATION: for  $z \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $M_z f(x) = e^{2\pi i x \cdot z} f(x)$

LEMMA 1.1.

$$\begin{aligned}
 (1) \quad (T_y f)^\wedge &= M_y \hat{f} & (2) \quad (M_z f)^\wedge &= T_z \hat{f} \\
 (3) \quad (D_A f)^\wedge &= D_{(A^t)^{-1}} \hat{f} & (4) \quad T_y M_z f &= e^{-2\pi i z \cdot y} M_z T_y f \\
 (5) \quad D_A T_y f &= T_{A^{-1}y} D_A f & (6) \quad D_A M_y f &= M_{A^t y} D_A f
 \end{aligned}$$

We will mainly deal with the following type of systems:

GABOR OR WEYL-HEISENBERG SYSTEMS in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ :  
 for  $\{g^1, \dots, g^L\} \subset L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $B, C \in GL_n(\mathbb{R})$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathcal{G} &\equiv \mathcal{G}_{B,C}(\{g^1, \dots, g^L\}) = \\
 &= \{T_{Ck} M_{Bm} g^l : k \in \mathbb{Z}^n, m \in \mathbb{Z}^n, l=1, \dots, L\}
 \end{aligned}$$

WAVELET TYPE SYSTEMS in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ :

for  $\{\gamma^1, \dots, \gamma^L\} \subset L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $A \in GL_n(\mathbb{R})$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathcal{W} &\equiv \mathcal{W}_A(\{\gamma^1, \dots, \gamma^L\}) = \\
 &= \{D_{A^j} T_k \gamma^l : k \in \mathbb{Z}^n, j \in \mathbb{Z}, l=1, \dots, L\}
 \end{aligned}$$

R.J. Duffin, A.C. Schaffer, "A Class of nonharmonic Fourier series", Trans. Amer. Math. Soc 72(1952), 341-366.

## 2. RECONSTRUCTION WITH FRAMES

The purpose of this section is to show that any frame on a Hilbert space  $H$  generates a reproducing system for elements of  $H$ . The result is easy if the frame is tight.

LEMMA 2.1.

If  $\{\psi_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$  is a tight frame with constant  $A$  we have

$$f = \frac{1}{A} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \langle f, \psi_j \rangle \psi_j, \text{ for all } f \in H.$$

Proof: The condition of being a tight frame is

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |\langle f, \psi_j \rangle|^2 = A \|f\|^2 \text{ for all } f \in H. \quad (1)$$

Equality (1) implies that the sequence  $S_N = \sum_{j=1}^N \langle f, \psi_j \rangle \psi_j$  of partial sums is a Cauchy sequence in  $H$ . Thus, the series converges to an element  $h \in H$ , that is

$$h = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \langle f, \psi_j \rangle \psi_j \text{ in } H. \quad (2)$$

Using the polarization identity in  $H$  as well as in  $\ell^2(\mathbb{N})$  it can be deduced from (1) that

$$A \langle f, g \rangle = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \langle f, \psi_j \rangle \langle \psi_j, g \rangle \quad (3)$$

for all  $f, g \in H$ . Thus, for all  $g \in H$  using (2) and (3) we obtain

$$\langle h, g \rangle = \left\langle \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \langle f, \psi_j \rangle \psi_j, g \right\rangle = A \langle f, g \rangle$$

which implies  $Af = h$

For a general frame  $\{\varphi_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$  we define the ANALYSIS OPERATOR  $A: H \rightarrow \ell^2(\mathbb{N})$  by

$$A f = \{ \langle f, \varphi_j \rangle \}_{j=1}^{\infty}.$$

The operator  $A$  is linear and bounded with  $\|A\| \leq \sqrt{B}$ . Its adjoint  $A^*: \ell^2(\mathbb{N}) \rightarrow H$ , which is called the RECONSTRUCTION OPERATOR is also bounded with norm less than  $\sqrt{B}$  and is given by

$$A^*(\{c_k\}) = \sum_k c_k \varphi_k.$$

FRAME OPERATOR:  $S = A^*A: H \rightarrow H$

$$S(f) = \sum_k \langle f, \varphi_k \rangle \varphi_k, \quad f \in H. \quad (1)$$

The frame condition is equivalent to

$$A \langle f, f \rangle \leq \langle S f, f \rangle \leq B \langle f, f \rangle, \quad f \in H \quad (2)$$

Hence,  $S$  is a positive linear operator that satisfies

$$A I \leq S \leq B I \quad (3)$$

From (3) we deduce that  $S$  has an inverse s.t.

$$\frac{1}{B} I \leq S^{-1} \leq \frac{1}{A} I \quad (4)$$

LEMMA 2.2.

If  $\{\varphi_j\}$  is an  $(A-B)$  frame on  $H$ , then  $\{\tilde{\varphi}_j \equiv S^{-1} \varphi_j\}$  is an  $(\frac{1}{B}, \frac{1}{A})$  frame on  $H$  (called the DUAL FRAME of  $\{\varphi_j\}$ )

Proof. From (4),  $\frac{1}{B} \|f\|^2 \leq \langle S^{-1}f, f \rangle \leq \frac{1}{A} \|f\|^2$ . Enough to show

$$\langle S^{-1}f, f \rangle = \sum_j |\langle f, \tilde{\psi}_j \rangle|^2, \quad f \in H \quad (5)$$

Since  $S = A^*A$  is self-adjoint, so is  $S^{-1}$ . Thus,

$$\sum_j |\langle f, \tilde{\psi}_j \rangle|^2 = \sum_j |\langle f, S^{-1}\psi_j \rangle|^2 = \sum_j |\langle Sf, \psi_j \rangle|^2$$

$$= \|A(S^{-1}f)\|_{\ell_2}^2 = \langle A(A^*A)^{-1}f, A(A^*A)^{-1}f \rangle$$

$$= \langle A^*A S^{-1}f, f \rangle = \langle S^{-1}f, f \rangle \quad \blacksquare$$

Defining  $\langle f, g \rangle_* = \langle S^{-1}f, g \rangle$  we have an inner product on  $H$  and by (5)

$$\|f\|_*^2 = \sum_k |\langle f, \psi_k \rangle_*|^2$$

Hence,  $\{\psi_j\}$  is a PARSEVAL FRAME on  $H$  with  $\langle, \rangle_*$ .  
By lemma 1.1.

$$f = \sum_j \langle f, \psi_j \rangle_* \psi_j = \sum_j \langle f, \tilde{\psi}_j \rangle \psi_j, \quad f \in H. \quad (6)$$

The dual formula can be deduced from here:

$$\langle f, g \rangle = \langle \sum_j \langle f, \tilde{\psi}_j \rangle \psi_j, g \rangle = \sum_j \langle f, \tilde{\psi}_j \rangle \langle \psi_j, g \rangle$$

$$= \langle f, \sum_j \langle g, \psi_j \rangle \tilde{\psi}_j \rangle \quad \therefore g = \sum_j \langle g, \psi_j \rangle \tilde{\psi}_j \quad (\text{weak})$$

↑  
Convergence is also in the norm of  $H$  because  $\{\psi_j\}$  is Bessel with constant  $B$  and  $\{\tilde{\psi}_j\}$  is Bessel with constant  $\frac{1}{A}$ .

We have proved:

THEOREM 3.

If  $\{\varphi_j\}$  is an  $(A, B)$  frame,  $\{\tilde{\varphi}_j = S^{-1}\varphi_j\}$  is an  $(\frac{1}{B}, \frac{1}{A})$  frame and

$$f = \sum_j \langle f, \tilde{\varphi}_j \rangle \varphi_j, \quad f = \sum_j \langle f, \varphi_j \rangle \tilde{\varphi}_j \quad (f \in H)$$

with convergence in  $H$ .

This proves that any frame produces a reproducing system with its dual frame. The coefficients  $\langle f, \tilde{\varphi}_j \rangle$  are not necessarily unique. But they have a minimality property.

PROPOSITION 4.

Let  $\{\varphi_j\}$  be a frame in  $H$  and  $f \in H$ . If  $f = \sum_k c_k \varphi_k$  (in  $H$ ) with  $c = \{c_k\} \in \ell^2$ , then

$$\sum_j |c_j|^2 \geq \sum_j |\langle f, \tilde{\varphi}_j \rangle|^2$$

where  $\{\tilde{\varphi}_j\}$  is the dual frame to  $\{\varphi_j\}$ . Equality holds iff  $c_j = \langle f, \tilde{\varphi}_j \rangle$ .

PROOF. With  $a_j = \langle f, \tilde{\varphi}_j \rangle$  we have  $f = \sum_j a_j \varphi_j$ . Since  $S^{-1}$  is self-adjoint

$$\langle f, S^{-1}f \rangle = \sum_j a_j \langle \varphi_j, S^{-1}f \rangle = \sum_j a_j \langle S^{-1}\varphi_j, f \rangle = \sum_j |a_j|^2$$

On the other hand,

$$\langle f, S^{-1}f \rangle = \sum_j c_j \langle \varphi_j, S^{-1}f \rangle = \sum_j c_j \bar{a}_j = \langle c, a \rangle_{\ell^2}$$

Hence,  $\|a\|_{\ell^2}^2 = \langle c, a \rangle_{\ell^2}$  and

$$\begin{aligned} \|e\|_{\ell^2}^2 &= \|c - a + a\|_{\ell^2}^2 = \|c - a\|_{\ell^2}^2 + \|a\|_{\ell^2}^2 + \langle c - a, a \rangle + \langle a, c - a \rangle \\ &= \|c - a\|_{\ell^2}^2 + \|a\|_{\ell^2}^2 \geq \|a\|_{\ell^2}^2 \end{aligned}$$

and equality holds iff  $c = a$ .

### 3. FRAMES GENERATED BY A SINGLE FUNCTION: (or a finite number of functions)

- Q.1. Does there exist  $g \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$  s.t.  $\{T_k g : k \in \mathbb{Z}^n\}$  is a frame for  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ?
- Q.2. Does there exist  $g \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$  s.t.  $\{M_k g : k \in \mathbb{Z}^n\}$  is a frame for  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ?
- Q.3. Does there exist  $g \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$  s.t.  $\{D_\lambda g : \lambda \in \mathbb{Z}^n\}$  is a frame for  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ?

Suppose  $\{T_k g : k \in \mathbb{Z}^n\}$  is a frame for  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Then

$$A \|f\|^2 \leq \sum_k |\langle f, T_k g \rangle|^2 \leq B \|f\|^2, \quad f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \quad (1)$$

From (1), for any  $u \in [0, 1]^n$

$$A \|f\|^2 \leq \sum_k |\langle f, T_{k+u} g \rangle|^2 \leq B \|T_{-u} f\|^2 = \|f\|^2 B \quad (2)$$

Integrating (2) in  $[0, 1]^n$

$$A \|f\|^2 \leq \int_{[0, 1]^n} \sum_k |\langle f, T_{k+u} g \rangle|^2 du = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\langle f, T_y g \rangle|^2 dy \leq B \|f\|^2, \quad f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \quad (3)$$

But

$$\begin{aligned} \langle f, T_y g \rangle &\stackrel{\text{Planch}}{=} \langle \hat{f}, (T_y g)^\wedge \rangle = \langle \hat{f}, M_y \hat{g} \rangle = \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \hat{f}(\xi) \overline{\hat{g}(\xi)} e^{2\pi i y \cdot \xi} d\xi = (\hat{f} \cdot \hat{g})^\vee(y) \end{aligned}$$

From (3)

$$A \|\hat{f}\|^2 \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\hat{f}(\xi) \overline{\hat{g}(\xi)}|^2 d\xi \leq B \|\hat{f}\|^2, \quad f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

Thus implies

$$A \leq |\hat{g}(\xi)| \leq B \quad \text{i.e. } \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

which shows that  $g \notin L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$

There are no frames in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$  of the form  
 $\{T_k g; k \in \mathbb{Z}^n\}$

REMARK 1. Similar argument shows that there are no frames in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$  of the form  $\{T_k g; k \in \mathbb{Z}^n\}$  and  $C \in GL_n(\mathbb{R})$ . Replace  $[0, 1]^n$  by  $C[0, 1]^n$

REMARK 2. [O. Christensen, B. Dong, C. Heil] "Density of Gabor frames (1999) showed that if  $T \subset \mathbb{R}$  is denumerable, then  $\{T_a g; a \in T\}$  is not a frame.

---

If  $\{M_k g; k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  is a frame for  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , by Plancherel's theorem,  $\{(M_k g)^\wedge; k \in \mathbb{Z}\} = \{T_k \hat{g}; k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  is a frame for  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Thus:

There are no frames in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$  of the form  
 $\{M_k g; k \in \mathbb{Z}^n\}$

---

Suppose  $\{D_A^j g; j \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  is a frame for  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,  $A \in GL_n(\mathbb{R})$ . Start with  $A = 2$  ( $n=1$ ). By example 1 of section 1, if  $\hat{\psi} = \chi_{I_a}$ ,  $I_a = [-2a, a) \cup (a, 2a]$  ( $a \geq \frac{1}{2}$ ), then  $\{D_{2^j} T_k \psi; j \in \mathbb{Z}, k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  is a Parseval frame. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}
\|g\|^2 &= \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} |\langle g, D_{2^j} T_k \psi \rangle|^2 \quad \text{Change vari} \\
&= \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} |\langle D_{2^j} g, T_k \psi \rangle|^2 \quad \{D_{2^j} g : j \in \mathbb{Z}\} \text{ frame} \\
&\geq \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} A \|T_k \psi\|^2 = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} A \|\psi\|^2 = \infty
\end{aligned}$$

There are no frames in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$  of the form  $\{D_{2^j} g : j \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ .

REMARK. For  $A \in GL_n(\mathbb{R})$  expansive, [Dai, Larson, Speegle] "Wavelet sets on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ " (1997), showed that there exists  $\psi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$  s.t.  $\{D_{A^j} T_k \psi : j \in \mathbb{Z}, k \in \mathbb{Z}^n\}$  is an o.n. wavelet (hence, a PF). Thus, the above result is true on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  for expansive matrices.

---

Are there frames of the form

- ①  $\{T_{ck} M_{bm} g : k, m \in \mathbb{Z}^n\}$       ②  $\{M_{bm} T_{ck} g : k, m \in \mathbb{Z}^n\}$   
 ③  $\{D_{A^j} T_{ck} g : j \in \mathbb{Z}, k \in \mathbb{Z}^n\}$       ④  $\{T_{ck} D_{A^j} g : j \in \mathbb{Z}, k \in \mathbb{Z}^n\}$   
 ⑤  $\{D_{A^j} M_{bm} g : j \in \mathbb{Z}, m \in \mathbb{Z}^n\}$       ⑥  $\{M_{bm} D_{A^j} g : j \in \mathbb{Z}, m \in \mathbb{Z}^n\}$

for  $g \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,  $A, B, C \in GL_n(\mathbb{R})$ ?

---

## FRAMES OF TYPE ①. - GABOR FRAMES -

a) If  $g \in W(\mathbb{R}^n)$  - Wiener space:

$$\|g\|_W = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \sup_{x \in [0,1]^n} |g(x+k)| < \infty$$

and  $|\det C|, |\det B|$  small, then  $\{T_{ck} M_{Bm} g : k \in \mathbb{Z}^n, m \in \mathbb{Z}^m\}$  is a frame ([D. Walnut, "Continuity properties of the Gabor frame operator" (1992) for the case  $C = \gamma I, B = \beta I$ ; for general  $A, B \in GL_n(\mathbb{R})$ , D. Vera (2004)).

b) [M.A. Rieffel], "Von Neumann algebras associated with pairs of lattices on Lie groups" (1995): if  $\gamma \cdot \beta > 1$  the family  $\{T_{\gamma k} M_{\beta m} g : k, m \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  is not a frame ( $\gamma, \beta > 0$ ). For matrices, [E. Hernández, Leobate, Weiss, 2002].

c) If  $\gamma \cdot \beta = 1$ , the functions  $g \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$  for which  $\{T_{\gamma k} M_{\beta m} g : k, m \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  is a frame must satisfy

$$\left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} x^2 |g(x)|^2 dx \right) \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} \xi^2 |\hat{g}(\xi)|^2 d\xi \right) < \infty$$

(Balian-low) [Balian] "Un principe d'incertitude fort en théorie du signal ou en mécanique quantique" (1981)

## FRAMES OF TYPE ②

Since

$$\begin{aligned} M_{Bm} T_{ck} g(x) &= e^{2\pi i Bm \cdot x} g(x - ck) = \\ &= e^{2\pi i Bm(x - ck)} e^{2\pi i Bm \cdot ck} g(x - ck) = \\ &= e^{2\pi i Bm \cdot ck} T_{ck} M_{Bm} g(x) \end{aligned}$$

and  $|e^{2\pi i Bm \cdot ck}| = 1$ , ② is a frame  $\Leftrightarrow$  ① is a frame

## FRAMES OF TYPE (3) & (5)

These are wavelet type systems. These type of frames are known to exist for many matrices  $A$  (expansive). The general case of finding all  $A$  and  $C$  s.t. (3) is a frame is still for some  $g \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is still unsolved.

By taking Fourier transforms

$$(\mathcal{D}_{A^j} \mathcal{T}_k g)^\wedge = \mathcal{D}_{(A^j)^{-1}} \mathcal{T}_k \hat{g}$$

frames of type (5) have the same properties than frames of type (4).

## FRAMES OF TYPE (4) and (6)

Taking the Fourier transform, existence of frames of the form (4) is equivalent to existence of frames of the form (6). Frames of this type do not exist (at least for many matrices  $A$ ) [P. Gressman, D. Labate, G. Weiss, E. Wilson J, (2004)].

**THEOREM 3.1.** (Gressman, Labate, Weiss, Wilson)

There are no frames of the type (4) and (6) when

$$\{T_k \mathcal{D}_{A^j} g : k \in \mathbb{Z}^n, j \in \mathbb{Z}\} \text{ or } \{M_n \mathcal{D}_{A^j} g : m \in \mathbb{Z}^n, j \in \mathbb{Z}\}$$

when  $A \in GL_n(\mathbb{R})$ ,  $|\det A| \neq 1$  and  $\exists X \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $0 < |X| < \infty$  s.t.  $\{A^j(X)\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}$  disjoint.

P/. Suppose  $\{T_k \mathcal{D}_{A^j} g : k \in \mathbb{Z}^n, j \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  is a frame. Then

$$A_\pm \|f\|^2 \leq \sum_j \sum_k |\langle f, T_k \mathcal{D}_{A^j} g \rangle|^2 \leq B_\pm \|f\|^2, \quad f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \quad (1)$$

With  $f=g$  we obtain  $\|g\|^4 = |\langle g, g \rangle|^2 \leq B_\pm \|g\|^2 \therefore$

$\|g\| \leq \sqrt{B_1}$ . For  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  define

$$\omega_f(x) = \sum_j \sum_k |\langle T_x f, T_k D_A g \rangle|^2$$

which is  $\mathbb{Z}^n$ -periodic. From (1)

$$A_1 \|f\| \leq \omega_f(x) \leq B_1 \|f\|, \quad f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \quad (2)$$

Integrating on  $[0,1]^n$  we deduce:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{[0,1]^n} \omega_f(x) dx &= \sum_j \sum_k \int_{[0,1]^n} |\langle f, T_{k-x} D_A g \rangle|^2 dx \\ &= \sum_j \sum_k \int_{[0,1]^n - k} |\langle f, T_y D_A g \rangle|^2 = \sum_j \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\langle f, T_y D_A g \rangle|^2 \end{aligned}$$

$$\stackrel{\text{Plancherel}}{=} \sum_j \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\langle \hat{f}, M_y D_{(A^+)^{-1}} \hat{g} \rangle|^2 dy$$

$$= \sum_j \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \hat{f}(\xi) |\det A|^{-1/2} \hat{g}((A^+)^{-1} \xi) e^{-2\pi i y \cdot \xi} d\xi \right|^2 dy$$

$$\stackrel{\text{Plancherel}}{=} \sum_j |\det A|^{-j} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\hat{f}(\xi)| |\hat{g}((A^+)^{-1} \xi)|^2 d\xi \quad (3)$$

From (2) and (3) we obtain

$$A_1 \leq \sum_j |\det A|^{-j} |\hat{g}((A^+)^{-1} \xi)|^2 \leq B_1 \quad \text{a.e. } \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n \quad (4)$$

For all  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$

$$\begin{aligned} A_1 |x| &\leq \sum_j \int_x |\det A|^{-j} |\hat{g}((A^+)^{-j+m} \xi)|^2 d\xi \quad \underline{\underline{-j+m=l}} \\ &= \sum_l \int_x |\det A|^{l-m} |\hat{g}((A^+)^l \xi)|^2 d\xi \quad \underline{\underline{(A^+)^l \xi = \eta}} \\ &= \sum_l \int_{(A^+)^l(x)} |\det A|^{-m} |\hat{g}(\eta)|^2 d\eta \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\det A|^{-m} |\hat{g}(\eta)|^2 d\eta \leq B_1 |\det A|^{-m} \end{aligned}$$

letting  $m \rightarrow \infty$  or  $-\infty$ , thus is a contradiction since  $|\det A| \neq 1$  ■