Available online at www.sciencedirect.com

SCIENCE DIRECT®

Physica B 378–380 (2006) 686–687

PHYSICA B

www.elsevier.com/locate/physb

Crystal fields and the $\gamma \rightarrow \alpha$ transition in Ce

Sukalpa Basu, Peter S. Riseborough*

Department of Physics, Temple University, Philadelphia, USA

Abstract

In the $\gamma \rightarrow \alpha$ transition of Ce, the material undergoes an isostructural change at which the volume changes by 15% and the magnetic character changes. Recently, the transition has been described in terms of a balance between the free-energy of the magnetic moments and the characteristic energy scale of the α phase. The field-temperature dependence of the phase diagram has been predicted, and was confirmed by experiment. Inelastic neutron scattering experiments on the γ phase of Ce have shown indications of crystal field splittings, and similar experiments have determined the energy scale of the α phase. We shall examine the effects of the crystalline field splittings within the framework of NCA calculations on the single-impurity Anderson model, and examine their consequence for the phase diagram.

PACS: 71.10.Hf; 71.27.+a; 75.30.Mb

Keywords: $\alpha \rightarrow \gamma$ Phases of Ce; Crystal field levels

The $\gamma \rightarrow \alpha$ transition in Ce has been the subject of much investigation since the 1940s. The transition between the high-temperature γ phase and the low-temperature α phase is isostructural and is manifested by a large decrease in volume($\sim$17%) [1]. In the high-temperature γ phase, the magnetic susceptibility follows a Curie–Weiss temperature dependence, but in the low-temperature α phase, the susceptibility is suppressed and follows a Pauli–paramagnetic temperature dependence, with a characteristic energy which was later found to be as large as 165 meV [2]. Pauling [3] and Zachariasen suggested that the f electrons are squeezed into the valence band in the transition. The Pauling–Zachariasen model was challenged when Gustafson and co-workers [4] performed positron annihilation measurements which indicated that there was no significant change in the number of f electrons. Subsequently, Johansson [5] suggested that the transition was a Mott transition in which the localized magnetic 4f electron states were transformed into a broad non-magnetic 4f band of Bloch states. However, photoemission experiments contradict a simplified picture of the Mott transition. Allen and Martin then suggested that the phase transition was due to a Kondo volume collapse [6]. In this picture, the transition is due to the competition between the entropy of the six-fold degenerate magnetic ion in the high-temperature state and the binding energy of a Kondo singlet ($\sim k_B T_K$) formed in the low-temperature state. This requires that the electronic entropy change, per Ce ion, should be of the order of $k_B \ln 6 \sim 1.79\, k_B$, which is similar to the total entropy change of $1.54\, k_B$ inferred from the latent heat. However, at high pressure, measurements of the Debye–Waller factor indicate that roughly $0.75\, k_B$ of the total entropy change is associated with phonons [7]. These measurements and the results of our present NCA calculations, which yield an electronic entropy change of only about $1.1\, k_B$, suggest that the lattice must play an important role in the transition. In fact, the lattice energy, along with the local correlation energy, has been calculated within the local-density-functional dynamical-meanfield approximation [8]. These calculations are promising as they show features consistent with the existence of the observed critical end-point at $T_c \sim 550$ K.

We shall compare our NCA calculations including cubic crystal field splittings with the neutron scattering data on the γ phase at ambient pressures [9,10] and we shall also

---

*Corresponding author. Tel.: +1 215 204 5655; fax: +1 215 204 5652.
E-mail address: sukalpa.basu@temple.edu (S. Basu).

0921-4526/$ - see front matter © 2006 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.
doi:10.1016/j.physb.2006.01.336



Fig. 1. A comparison of the NCA calculations with $J = \frac{5}{2}$ and no crystal field splittings and various values of the hybridization V (in eV), with the experimental data of McQueeney et al. [9].

with the experimentally determined phase diagram of $Ce_{0.8}La_{0.1}Th_{0.1}$ [11].

We have calculated the neutron scattering cross-section of the single impurity Anderson model using the NCA, with $J = \frac{5}{2}$ (Fig. 1). The best fit of the neutron scattering on γ Ce shows evidence of a broadened crystal field level at about 18 meV. Due to the large width of the inelastic "peak" [10], comparison of the data with calculations assuming a cubic crystal field is indecisive as to whether the crystal field quartet or the doublet has the lowest energy. The quartet ground state does produces a slightly better fit. The calculated value of the f occupation is about 0.95 for the γ phase and is 0.8 in the α phase. The value of 0.8 is consistent with a Kondo condensation energy of 165 meV [2]. Since the γ phase is dominated by the local moments which are absent in the α phase, Dzero et al. suggested that the (B, T) phase diagram should be determined by the balance of the magnetic free energy with the (field-independent) condensation of the low-temperature phase [12]. In Fig. 2, we show the effects of crystal field splitting on the observed phase diagram of $Ce_{0.8}La_{0.1}Th_{0.1}$ [11]. It is seen that the experimental data support the hypothesis of a crystal field doublet ground state. Since this is also inconclusive, we suggest that the observation of a metamagnetic transition for fields of the order of 200 T would conclusively demonstrate that the doublet is the crystal field ground state. The metamagnetic transition is predicted to be absent for a quartet crystal field ground state.



Fig. 2. The calculated phase diagram of $Ce_{0.8}La_{0.1}Th_{0.1}$ assuming cubic crystal fields with a splitting of 18 meV, no crystal field splitting and the experimental data (filled squares) [11].

We have analyzed the results of inelastic neutron scattering in the γ phase of Ce and find evidence of (broadened) crystal field splittings. Support for the existence of these crystal field levels is provided by analysis of the phase diagram of $Ce_{0.8}La_{0.1}Th_{0.1}$. A definitive determination of the crystal field ground state may be provided by the observation of a metamagnetic transition at high fields. Our NCA calculations, with crystal field splittings, do show that a significant fraction of the entropy change in the $\gamma \rightarrow \alpha$ transition is of non-electronic origin. Therefore, we conclude that the lattice does play an important role in the transition.

References

[1] A.W. Lawson, T.Y. Tang, Phys. Rev. 76 (1949) 301.
[2] A.P. Murani, Z.A. Bowden, A.D. Taylor, R. Osborn, W.G. Marshall, Phys. Rev. B 48 (1983) 13981.
[3] L. Pauling, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 69 (1947) 542.
[4] D.R. Gustafson, et al., Phys. Rev. 183 (1969) 435.
[5] B. Johansson, Phil. Mag. 30 (1974) 469.
[6] J.W. Allen, R.M. Martin, Phys. Rev. Lett. 74 (1982) 1006.
[7] I.-K. Jeong, T.W. Darling, M.J. Graf, Th. Proffen, R.H. Heffner, Y. Lee, T. Vogt, J.D. Jorgensen, Phys. Rev. Lett. 92 (2004) 105702.
[8] K. Held, A.K. McMahan, R.T. Scalettar, Phys. Rev. Lett. 87 (2001) 276404.
[9] R.J. McQueeney, M.E. Manley, R. Osborn, B. Fultz, G.H. Kwei, P.D. Bogdanoff, Philos. Mag. B 81 (2001) 675.
[10] B.D. Rainford, B. Buras, B. Lebech, Physica B 86–88 (1977) 41.
[11] F. Drymiotis, J. Singleton, N. Harrison, J.V. Lashley, A. Bangura, C.H. Mielke, L. Balicas, Z. Fisk, A. Migliori, J.L. Smith, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 17 (2005) L77.
[12] M.O. Dzero, L.P. Gor'kov, A.K. Zvezdin, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 12 (2000) L711.