

NOTES ON SCHAUDER ESTIMATES

CRISTIAN E. GUTIÉRREZ

JUNE 5, 2007

Lemma 1. *If $\Delta u \geq -f$ in $B_r(y)$, then*

$$u(x) \leq \sup_{\partial B_r(y)} u + \frac{r^2 - |x - y|^2}{2n} \sup_{B_r(y)} f, \quad x \in B_r(y).$$

Proof. Let $g(x) = u(x) - \sup_{\partial B_r(y)} u - \frac{r^2 - |x - y|^2}{2n} \sup_{B_r(y)} f$. We have $\Delta g = \Delta u + \sup_{B_r(y)} f \geq -f + \sup_{B_r(y)} f \geq 0$, that is, g is subharmonic in $B_r(y)$. Then $\sup_{B_r(y)} g = \sup_{\partial B_r(y)} g = 0$, so $g \leq 0$ in $B_r(y)$ and the lemma follows. \square

Lemma 2. *If u is a solution to $\Delta u = f$ in $B_r(y)$ and v solves $\Delta v = 0$ and $v = u$ on $\partial B_r(y)$, then*

$$\frac{r^2 - |x - y|^2}{2n} \inf_{B_r(y)} f \leq v(x) - u(x) \leq \frac{r^2 - |x - y|^2}{2n} \sup_{B_r(y)} f,$$

in particular,

$$|u(x) - v(x)| \leq \frac{r^2 - |x - y|^2}{2n} \sup_{B_r(y)} |f|,$$

for all $x \in B_r(y)$.

Proof. Since $\Delta(v - u) = -f$, the inequality immediately follows from Lemma 1. \square

Lemma 3. *Let $0 < \alpha < 1$. There exist positive constants C_0, ϵ_0 and $0 < \lambda < 1$ such that for any f and any solution to $\Delta u = f$ in $B_1(0)$ with $\|u\|_{L^\infty(B_1(0))} \leq 1$ and $\|f\|_{L^\infty(B_1(0))} \leq \epsilon_0$ there exists a second degree harmonic polynomial $q(x) = \frac{1}{2}\langle Ax, x \rangle + B \cdot x + C$ such that*

$$(1) \quad |u(x) - q(x)| \leq \lambda^{2+\alpha}, \quad \text{for } |x| \leq \lambda,$$

and

$$(2) \quad \|A\| + |B| + |C| \leq C_0.$$

Proof. Let v be the harmonic function in the statement of Lemma 2. By the maximum principle $\sup_{B_1(0)} |v| \leq \sup_{B_1(0)} |u| \leq 1$. Since v is harmonic

$$(3) \quad |D^\beta v(x)| \leq C(n, |\beta|) \sup_{B_1(0)} |v| \leq C(n, |\beta|), \quad \text{for } |x| \leq 1/2.$$

We shall prove that the second order Taylor polynomial of v about 0, $q(x) = \frac{1}{2}\langle D^2v(0)x, x \rangle + Dv(0) \cdot x + v(0)$, satisfies (1) and (2). In fact, (2) follows from (3). Also, $\Delta q(x) = \text{trace}D^2q(x) = \text{trace}D^2v(0) = \Delta v(0) = 0$, so q is harmonic. We have

$$v(x) = q(x) + \frac{1}{3!} \left[(x \cdot D)^3 v(x) \right]_{x=\xi},$$

with ξ an intermediate point between 0 and x . Then from Lemma 2 we get

$$\begin{aligned} |u(x) - q(x)| &\leq |u(x) - v(x)| + |v(x) - q(x)| \\ &\leq \frac{1 - |x|^2}{2n} \sup_{B_1(0)} |f| + \frac{1}{3!} \left| \left[(x \cdot D)^3 v(x) \right]_{x=\xi} \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1 - |x|^2}{2n} \sup_{B_1(0)} |f| + C_n |x|^3 \sup_{|z| \leq 1/2, |\beta|=3} |D^\beta v(z)|, \quad \text{for } |x| \leq 1/2 \\ &\leq \frac{1 - |x|^2}{2n} \sup_{B_1(0)} |f| + C_n |x|^3 = I + II, \quad \text{from (3)}. \end{aligned}$$

We write

$$\begin{aligned} II &= C_n |x|^3 \leq C_n \lambda^3, \quad \text{if } |x| \leq \lambda \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \lambda^{2+\alpha} \end{aligned}$$

if we pick $\lambda \leq \left(\frac{1}{2C_n} \right)^{1/(1-\alpha)}$. With this value of λ , we next want

$$I \leq \frac{1}{2} \lambda^{2+\alpha}.$$

If $\epsilon_0 \leq n \lambda^{2+\alpha}$, we then have

$$I = \frac{1 - |x|^2}{2n} \sup_{B_1(0)} |f| \leq \frac{1}{2n} \sup_{B_1(0)} |f| \leq \frac{1}{2n} \epsilon_0 \leq \frac{1}{2} \lambda^{2+\alpha}$$

and we are done. \square

Theorem 4. Suppose $u \in C^2(B_1(0)) \cap C(\bar{B}_1(0))$, $\Delta u = f$, and f is Hölder continuous at 0, i.e.,

$$[f]_{\alpha,0} = \sup_{|x| \leq 1} \frac{|f(x) - f(0)|}{|x|^\alpha} < \infty.$$

Then there exists a second degree polynomial $p(x, 0) = \frac{1}{2}\langle Ax, x \rangle + B \cdot x + C$ such that

$$(4) \quad |u(x) - p(x, 0)| \leq C_1 |x|^{2+\alpha}, \quad \text{for } |x| \leq 1/2,$$

with

$$C_1 \leq C_0 \left([f]_{\alpha,0} + \|f\|_{L^\infty(B_1)} + \|u\|_{L^\infty(B_1)} \right),$$

and

$$\|A\| + \|B\| + \|C\| \leq C_0 \left([f]_{\alpha,0} + \|f\|_{L^\infty(B_1)} + \|u\|_{L^\infty(B_1)} \right).$$

Proof. We may assume that

- (i) $f(0) = 0$,
- (ii) $[f]_{\alpha,0} + \|f\|_{L^\infty(B_1)} \leq \epsilon_0$,
- (iii) $\|u\|_{L^\infty(B_1(0))} \leq 1$.

Indeed, if we let

$$v(x) = u(x) - \frac{|x|^2}{2n} f(0), \quad h(x) = \epsilon_0 \frac{f(x) - f(0)}{[f]_{\alpha,0} + 2\|f\|_{L^\infty(B_1)} + \|v\|_{L^\infty(B_1)}}$$

and

$$\bar{u}(x) = \epsilon_0 \frac{v(x)}{[f]_{\alpha,0} + 2\|f\|_{L^\infty(B_1)} + \|v\|_{L^\infty(B_1)}},$$

then $\Delta \bar{u} = h$ in B_1 , h satisfies (i) and (ii), and \bar{u} satisfies (iii).

Claim: there exists a sequence of harmonic polynomials $p_k(x) = \frac{1}{2} \langle A_k x, x \rangle + B_k \cdot x + C_k$ such that

$$(5) \quad |u(x) - p_k(x)| \leq \lambda^{(2+\alpha)k}, \quad \text{for } |x| \leq \lambda^k$$

and

$$(6) \quad \|A_k - A_{k+1}\| \leq C \lambda^{\alpha k}, \quad |B_k - B_{k+1}| \leq C \lambda^{(\alpha+1)k}, \quad |C_k - C_{k+1}| \leq C \lambda^{(\alpha+2)k},$$

for $k = 1, \dots$ where C is a universal constant. In view of (ii) and (iii) above we can apply Lemma 3, and we let $p_1(x)$ be the polynomial in that lemma. Suppose $p_k(x)$ is constructed. We will construct p_{k+1} . Let

$$w(x) = \frac{(u - p_k)(\lambda^k x)}{\lambda^{(\alpha+2)k}}.$$

We have

$$\Delta w(x) = \frac{1}{\lambda^{(\alpha+2)k}} \left[\lambda^{2k} (\Delta u)(\lambda^k x) - \lambda^{2k} (\Delta p_k)(\lambda^k x) \right] = \frac{1}{\lambda^{\alpha k}} f(\lambda^k x) = g_k(x).$$

From (5), $\|w\|_{L^\infty(B_1)} \leq 1$ and from (i) and (ii) above, $\|g_k\|_{L^\infty(B_1)} \leq \epsilon_0$. Hence by application of Lemma 3 to w , we get a harmonic polynomial $q_k(x)$ -depending on g_k - such that

$$(7) \quad |w(x) - q_k(x)| \leq \lambda^{2+\alpha}, \quad \text{for } |x| \leq \lambda.$$

From the definition of w and (7) we then get

$$|u(\lambda^k x) - p_k(\lambda^k x) - \lambda^{(2+\alpha)k} q_k(x)| \leq \lambda^{(2+\alpha)(k+1)}, \quad \text{for } |x| \leq \lambda.$$

Therefore, if we take

$$(8) \quad p_{k+1}(x) = p_k(x) + \lambda^{(2+\alpha)k} q_k(x/\lambda^k),$$

then p_{k+1} satisfies (5) with k replaced by $k+1$. Writing $q_k(x) = \frac{1}{2}\langle A_k^* x, x \rangle + B_k^* \cdot x + C_k^*$, from (8) we get

$$A_{k+1} = A_k + \lambda^{\alpha k} A_k^*, \quad B_{k+1} = B_k + \lambda^{(\alpha+1)k} B_k^*, \quad C_{k+1} = C_k + \lambda^{(\alpha+2)k} C_k^*$$

for $k = 1, 2, \dots$ and then (6) follows from (2). This completes the proof of the claim.

Next, we notice that from (6), (2), and since $0 < \lambda < 1$, it follows that A_k, B_k, C_k are Cauchy sequences and therefore we let

$$p(x, 0) = \frac{1}{2}\langle A_\infty x, x \rangle + B_\infty \cdot x + C_\infty,$$

where $A_\infty, B_\infty, C_\infty$ are the corresponding limits. We show that $p(x, 0)$ satisfies (4). Given $|x| \leq 1/2$, let k be a positive integer such that $\lambda^{k+1} < |x| \leq \lambda^k$. Hence

$$|p_k(x) - p(x, 0)| \leq C(\lambda^{\alpha k} |x|^2 + \lambda^{(\alpha+1)k} |x| + \lambda^{(\alpha+2)k}) \approx C|x|^{2+\alpha}.$$

and from (5) we obtain

$$|u(x) - p(x, 0)| \leq |u(x) - p_k(x)| + |p_k(x) - p(x, 0)| \leq C|x|^{2+\alpha} + C|x|^{2+\alpha}$$

and we are done. \square

Suppose $f \in C^\alpha(B_1(0))$ and u is a solution to $\Delta u = f$ in $B_1(0)$. Let $y \in B_1(0)$ and $r < \text{dist}(y, \partial B_1(0))$. Define $g(x) = r^2 f(y + rx)$ and $v(x) = u(y + rx)$ for $x \in B_1(0)$. We have that v is a solution to $\Delta v = g$ in $B_1(0)$ and

$$[g]_{\alpha,0} = \sup_{|x| \leq 1} \frac{|g(x) - g(0)|}{|x|^\alpha} = r^2 \sup_{|x| \leq 1} \frac{|f(y + rx) - f(y)|}{|x|^\alpha} = r^{2+\alpha} \sup_{|z| \leq r} \frac{|f(y + z) - f(y)|}{|z|^\alpha}.$$

From Theorem 4 applied to v , there exists a quadratic polynomial $p(x, 0)$ such that

$$(9) \quad |v(x) - p(x, 0)| \leq C_1 |x|^{2+\alpha}, \quad \text{for } |x| \leq 1/2,$$

with

$$(10) \quad C_1 \leq C_0 \left([g]_{\alpha,0} + \|g\|_{L^\infty(B_1(0))} + \|v\|_{L^\infty(B_1(0))} \right).$$

From (9) and the definition of v we get that

$$|u(z) - p((z - y)/r, 0)| \leq \frac{C_1}{r^{2+\alpha}} |z - y|^{2+\alpha}, \quad \text{for } |z - y| \leq r/2.$$

We have $\|g\|_{L^\infty(B_1(0))} = r^2 \|f\|_{L^\infty(B_r(y))}$, and $\|v\|_{L^\infty(B_1(0))} = \|u\|_{L^\infty(B_r(y))}$. If we let $q(x, y) = p((x - y)/r, 0)$, and $r = \text{dist}(y, \partial B_1(0))$, then

$$|u(x) - q(x, y)| \leq C_1^* |x - y|^{2+\alpha}, \quad \text{for } |x - y| \leq \text{dist}(y, \partial B_1(0))/2,$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} C_1^* &= \frac{C_1}{\text{dist}(y, \partial B_1(0))^{2+\alpha}} \\ &\leq C_0 \left(\sup_{|z| \leq \text{dist}(y, \partial B_1(0))} \frac{|f(y+z) - f(y)|}{|z|^\alpha} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \text{dist}(y, \partial B_1(0))^{-\alpha} \|f\|_{L^\infty(B_r(y))} + \text{dist}(y, \partial B_1(0))^{-2-\alpha} \|u\|_{L^\infty(B_r(y))} \right). \end{aligned}$$

In particular, we obtain that if $\Delta u = f$ in $B_1(0)$, then for each $y \in B_{1/2}(0)$ there exists a quadratic polynomial $p(x, y)$ such that

$$|u(x) - p(x, y)| \leq C^* |x - y|^{2+\alpha}, \quad \text{for } |x - y| \leq 1/4,$$

with

$$C^* \leq C_0 \left([f]_{\alpha, B_1(0)} + \|f\|_{L^\infty(B_1(0))} + \|u\|_{L^\infty(B_1(0))} \right).$$

Lemma 5. Suppose $u \in C^2(\Omega)$ is such that there exist constants $C_1 > 0$ and $0 < \alpha < 1$ so that for each $y \in \Omega$ there exists a quadratic polynomial $p(x, y)$ such that

$$(11) \quad |u(x) - p(x, y)| \leq C_1 |x - y|^{2+\alpha}, \quad \text{for all } x \in B(y, \text{dist}(y, \partial\Omega)/2).$$

Then

$$(12) \quad p(x, y) = \frac{1}{2}(x - y)^t D^2 u(y)(x - y) + Du(y) \cdot (x - y) + u(y),$$

and

$$(13) \quad |D_{ij}u(x_1) - D_{ij}u(x_2)| \leq C_1 |x_1 - x_2|^\alpha$$

for all $x_1, x_2 \in \Omega$ with $\text{dist}(x_i, \partial\Omega) > \text{diam}(\Omega)/2$.

Proof. We use the following result: if $f \in C^2(I)$ where I is an open interval, then

$$(14) \quad \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(a+h) + f(a-h) - 2f(a)}{h^2} = f''(a),$$

for $a \in I$. (Notice that the converse to this result is not true, take $f(x) = x|x|$ at $a = 0$).

From (11) it follows immediately that $p(y, y) = u(y)$. On the other hand,

$$D_j u(y) = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{u(h e_j + y) - u(y)}{h},$$

and

$$\left| \frac{u(h e_j + y) - u(y)}{h} - \frac{p(h e_j + y, y) - p(y, y)}{h} \right| \leq \frac{C_1 |h e_j|^{2+\alpha}}{|h|} \rightarrow 0,$$

as $h \rightarrow 0$. So $D_x p(y, y) = Du(y)$. If η is a nonzero vector in \mathbb{R}^n and $g_\eta(t) = u(t\eta + y)$, then $g'_\eta(t) = \sum_{i,j=1}^n \eta_i \eta_j u_{ij}(t\eta + y)$. In particular, $g''_{e_k}(0) = u_{kk}(y)$, and $g''_{e_k+e_\ell}(0) = u_{kk}(y) + 2u_{k\ell}(y) + u_{\ell\ell}(y)$. Hence

$$u_{k\ell}(y) = \frac{1}{2} \{g''_{e_k+e_\ell}(0) - g''_{e_k}(0) - g''_{e_\ell}(0)\},$$

and from (14) we get

$$u_{k\ell}(y) = \frac{1}{2} \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{\Delta_{k\ell} u(h, y) + \Delta_{k\ell} u(-h, y) - 2\Delta_{k\ell} u(0, y)}{h^2}$$

where

$$\Delta_{k\ell} u(h, y) = u(h(e_k + e_\ell) + y) - u(h e_k + y) - u(h e_\ell + y).$$

Also

$$p_{k\ell}(y) = \frac{1}{2} \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{\Delta_{k\ell} p(h, y) + \Delta_{k\ell} p(-h, y) - 2\Delta_{k\ell} p(0, y)}{h^2}$$

Since

$$|\Delta_{k\ell} u(h, y) - (p(h(e_k + e_\ell) + y, y) - p(h e_k + y, y) - p(h e_\ell + y, y))| \leq 4 C_1 |h|^{2+\alpha},$$

$$|\Delta_{k\ell} u(-h, y) - (p(-h(e_k + e_\ell) + y, y) - p(-h e_k + y, y) - p(-h e_\ell + y, y))| \leq 4 C_1 |h|^{2+\alpha},$$

and $\Delta_{k\ell} u(0, y) = -u(y) = -p(y, y)$ we obtain that $D^2 u(y) = D_x^2 p(y, y)$ and then (12) is proved.

To prove (13) we use the following lemma of Calderón-Zygmund, [CZ61, Lemma 2.6].

Lemma 6. *Given an integer $m \geq 0$, there exists a function $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with support in the unit ball such that $\varphi_\epsilon * P = P$ for each $\epsilon > 0$ and every polynomial P of degree $\leq m$. As usual, $\varphi_\epsilon(x) = \epsilon^{-n} \varphi(x/\epsilon)$.*

Proof. Let C be the class of C^∞ functions in \mathbb{R}^n with support in the unit ball, and define

$$T(\phi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \phi(x) x^\alpha dx.$$

The linear transformation T maps C into the vector space V of all points $\{\xi_\alpha\}$ with $0 \leq |\alpha| \leq m$. We claim that this map is onto. Otherwise, $T(C)$ is a subspace

strictly contained in V , and so $T(C)$ has an orthogonal complement $V' \neq \{0\}$ in V . Therefore there exists $\{\eta_\alpha\} \in V'$ not all zero with

$$\sum \eta_\alpha \xi_\alpha = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \phi(x) \sum \eta_\alpha x^\alpha dx = 0$$

for all $\phi \in C$. In particular, if $\psi \in C$ is any function with $\psi > 0$ for $|x| > 1$, then taking $\phi(x) = \psi(x) \sum \bar{\eta}_\alpha x^\alpha$, we obtain

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \psi(x) \left| \sum \eta_\alpha x^\alpha \right|^2 dx = 0$$

and consequently $\left| \sum \eta_\alpha x^\alpha \right| = 0$ for $|x| < 1$ and therefore η_α are all zero, a contradiction. Therefore $T(C) = V$ and so there exists $\phi \in C$ such that $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \phi(x) dx = 1$ and $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \phi(x) x^\alpha dx = 0$ for $0 < |\alpha| \leq m$. If $Q(x)$ is a polynomial of degree $\leq m$, then $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \phi(x) Q(x) dx = Q(0)$. Therefore, $\epsilon^{-n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \phi((x-y)/\epsilon) Q(y) dy = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \phi(z) Q(x+\epsilon z) dz = Q(x)$. \square

Let $x_1, x_2 \in \Omega$ be such that $\text{dist}(x_i, \partial\Omega) > \text{diam}(\Omega)/2$ and write

$$u(x) = u(x) - p(x, x_1) + p(x, x_1)$$

$$u(x) = u(x) - p(x, x_2) + p(x, x_2),$$

and convolving these expressions with φ_ϵ and using Lemma 6 with $m = 2$ we get

$$u_\epsilon(x) = [u - p(\cdot, x_1)] * \varphi_\epsilon(x) + p(x, x_1)$$

$$u_\epsilon(x) = [u - p(\cdot, x_2)] * \varphi_\epsilon(x) + p(x, x_2),$$

for $\text{dist}(x, \partial\Omega) > \epsilon$, and taking derivatives

$$D_{ij}u_\epsilon(x) = [u - p(\cdot, x_1)] * D_{ij}\varphi_\epsilon(x) + D_{ij}u(x_1)$$

$$D_{ij}u_\epsilon(x) = [u - p(\cdot, x_2)] * D_{ij}\varphi_\epsilon(x) + D_{ij}u(x_2).$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} D_{ij}u(x_1) - D_{ij}u(x_2) &= [u - p(\cdot, x_2)] * D_{ij}\varphi_\epsilon(x) - [u - p(\cdot, x_1)] * D_{ij}\varphi_\epsilon(x) \\ &= \epsilon^{-n-2} \int_{|y-x|<\epsilon} [u(y) - p(y, x_2)] D_{ij}\varphi((x-y)/\epsilon) dy \\ &\quad - \epsilon^{-n-2} \int_{|y-x|<\epsilon} [u(y) - p(y, x_1)] D_{ij}\varphi((x-y)/\epsilon) dy \\ &= I - II. \end{aligned}$$

If we let $x = (x_1 + x_2)/2$, and $\epsilon = |x_1 - x_2|/2$, we get that $B_\epsilon(x) \subset B_{2\epsilon}(x_i)$ for $i = 1, 2$, and so from (11) we get

$$\begin{aligned} |I| &\leq \epsilon^{-n-2} C_1 \int_{|y-x_2| < 2\epsilon} |y-x_2|^{2+\alpha} |D_{ij}\varphi((x-y)/\epsilon)| dy \\ &\leq \epsilon^{-n-2} C_1 \|D_{ij}\varphi\|_\infty \int_{|y-x_2| < 2\epsilon} |y-x_2|^{2+\alpha} dy = C_n C_1 \epsilon^\alpha = C |x_1 - x_2|^\alpha, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} |II| &\leq \epsilon^{-n-2} C_1 \int_{|y-x_1| < 2\epsilon} |y-x_1|^{2+\alpha} |D_{ij}\varphi((x-y)/\epsilon)| dy \\ &\leq \epsilon^{-n-2} C_1 \|D_{ij}\varphi\|_\infty \int_{|y-x_1| < 2\epsilon} |y-x_1|^{2+\alpha} dy = C_n C_1 \epsilon^\alpha = C |x_1 - x_2|^\alpha, \end{aligned}$$

and (13) follows. □

REFERENCES

[CZ61] A. P. Calderón and A. Zygmund, *Local properties of solutions of elliptic partial differential equations*, *Studia Math.* **20** (1961), 171–225.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, TEMPLE UNIVERSITY, PHILADELPHIA, PA 19122
E-mail address: gutierrez@math.temple.edu